Neuron Article

Calcium-Activated Chloride Channels (CaCCs) Regulate Action Potential and Synaptic Response in Hippocampal Neurons

Wendy C. Huang,^{1,2,4,5} Shaohua Xiao,^{2,4} Fen Huang,² Brian D. Harfe,³ Yuh Nung Jan,² and Lily Yeh Jan^{2,*} ¹Graduate Program in Neuroscience

²Departments of Physiology and Biochemistry, Howard Hughes Medical Institute

University of California, San Francisco, San Francisco, CA 94158, USA

³Department of Molecular Genetics and Microbiology, The Genetics Institute, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL 32610, USA

⁴These authors contributed equally to this work

⁵Present address: Brown University, Providence, RI 02906, USA

*Correspondence: lily.jan@ucsf.edu

DOI 10.1016/j.neuron.2012.01.033

SUMMARY

Central neurons respond to synaptic inputs from other neurons by generating synaptic potentials. Once the summated synaptic potentials reach threshold for action potential firing, the signal propagates leading to transmitter release at the synapse. The calcium influx accompanying such signaling opens calcium-activated ion channels for feedback regulation. Here, we report a mechanism for modulating hippocampal neuronal signaling that involves calcium-activated chloride channels (CaCCs). We present evidence that CaCCs reside in hippocampal neurons and are in close proximity of calcium channels and NMDA receptors to shorten action potential duration, dampen excitatory synaptic potentials, impede temporal summation, and raise the threshold for action potential generation by synaptic potential. Having recently identified TMEM16A and TMEM16B as CaCCs, we further show that TMEM16B but not TMEM16A is important for hippocampal CaCC, laying the groundwork for deciphering the dynamic CaCC modulation of neuronal signaling in neurons important for learning and memory.

INTRODUCTION

Neuronal signaling is subject to feedback regulation by ion channels. A neuron integrates impinging synaptic inputs to generate action potentials for signal transmission to the next neuron; it conveys information by adjusting the action potential number, the "firing frequency," or timing, the "firing pattern." As action potential triggers transmitter release from axon terminals, the ensuing transmitter receptor activation leads to synaptic responses. Ca²⁺ signals generated during action potential and synaptic potentials activate Ca²⁺-activated ion channels thereby providing feedback regulation.

Besides voltage-activated Na^+ and K^+ channels that make up the basic machinery for action potential generation (Hodgkin and Huxley, 1952), voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels open and the resultant Ca²⁺ influx activates big-conductance Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channels (BK) to modulate action potential waveform (Adams et al., 1982; Lancaster and Nicoll, 1987; Storm, 1987a, 1987b), leading to regulation of transmitter release from axon terminals (Hu et al., 2001; Lingle et al., 1996; Petersen and Maruyama, 1984; Raffaelli et al., 2004; Robitaille et al., 1993) and firing patterns in the soma (Madison and Nicoll, 1984; Shao et al., 1999).

As to transmitter activation of glutamatergic α -amino-3hydroxy-5-methyl-4-isoxazolepropionic acid receptors (AMPA-Rs) and N-methyl D-aspartate receptors (NMDA-Rs), NMDA-Rs mediate Ca²⁺ influx which activates small-conductance Ca²⁺ activated K⁺ channels (SK) (Fakler and Adelman, 2008) to influence synaptic plasticity (Lin et al., 2008; Ngo-Anh et al., 2005; Stackman et al., 2002); SK activation during an excitatory postsynaptic potential (EPSP) reduces the synaptic response and the likelihood for long-term potentiation (LTP) (Hammond et al., 2006; Stackman et al., 2002).

Whether and how Ca²⁺-activated Cl⁻ channels (CaCCs) might be involved in neuronal signaling is currently unknown. Even the basic question regarding the existence of CaCCs in hippocampal pyramidal neurons has yet to be addressed, notwithstanding earlier studies of CaCCs in anterior pituitary neurons (Korn et al., 1991), amygdala neurons (Sugita et al., 1993), and cingulate cortical neurons (Higashi et al., 1993).

The paucity of information regarding CaCCs in central neurons is partly due to the uncertainty regarding their molecular identity. Now that three independent studies reached the same conclusion that TMEM16A of a family of transmembrane protein with unknown functions encodes a CaCC (Caputo et al., 2008; Schroeder et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2008)-a conclusion verified by reports that the native CaCC current in several cell types is eliminated in TMEM16A knockout mice (Ousingsawat et al., 2009; Romanenko et al., 2010) and TMEM16A is important for vasoconstriction (Manoury et al., 2010), Ca²⁺-dependent Cl⁻ transport across airway epithelia (Rock et al., 2009), rhythmic contraction in gastrointestinal tracts (Huang et al., 2009; Hwang et al., 2009), and fluid excretion in salivary glands (Romanenko et al., 2010). Moreover, TMEM16B also gives rise to CaCC (Pifferi et al., 2009; Schroeder et al., 2008), likely accounting for the CaCC in olfactory sensory neurons (Billig



Figure 1. The Tail Current Induced by Ca²⁺ Channel Activation in Cultured Hippocampal Pyramidal Neurons Is a CI⁻ Current (A) Depolarization (at room temperature for A–C) to 0 mV for 200 ms results in Ca²⁺ current followed by a tail current that reverses at E_{CI} .

(B) The reversal potential follows E_{Cl} : black, 23 mM $[Cl^-]_{in}$ ($E_{Cl} = -46.8$ mV), red, 10 mM $[Cl^-]_{in}$ ($E_{Cl} = -67.8$ mV), blue, 5 mM $[Cl^-]_{in}$ ($E_{Cl} = -85.3$ mV). (C) The average reversal potential is -45.1 ± 0.9 mV at 23 mM $[Cl^-]_{in}$ (n = 14), -67.2 ± 1.1 mV at

0.9 mV at 23 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} (n = 14), -67.2 ± 1.1 mV at 10 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} (n = 5), and -83.5 ± 1.4 mV at 5 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} (n = 6). (D) Depolarizing neurons (in acute slices at 35°C

(b) Depotatizing field in a cute sinces at 35 c with 2.5 mM Ca²⁺) to 0 mV for 1 ms results in Ca²⁺ current followed by a tail current that reverses at E_{Cl} as in the case of cultured neurons: -45 ± 0.5 mV (n = 25 for 10 recordings from cultured neurons and 15 from acute slices) (E_{Cl} = -46.8 mV). The tail (left) is sensitive to the CaCC blocker NFA (300 μ M) (middle).

The dashed line in (A) and (D) indicates zero current. See Figure S1A for time course plot. The error bars are standard error of the mean (SEM).

neurons, we applied a 200 ms depolarization step from -70 mV to 0 mV to activate voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels. This depolarizing prepulse resulted in Ca^{2+} influx due to the large inward Ca^{2+} current, and was followed by a tail current (arrow, Figure 1A) that reversed near the equilibrium potential of Cl^- ions (E_{Cl}) (Figure 1A, right), in cultured pyramidal neurons at DIV (days in vitro) 14 and also in CA1

et al., 2011; Stephan et al., 2009) and photoreceptor terminals (Barnes and Hille, 1989; Stöhr et al., 2009).

In this study, we show CaCCs are present in hippocampal neurons and serve functions important for neuronal signaling. CaCC activation by Ca^{2+} influx through NMDA receptors reduces the EPSP and the extent of temporal summation. CaCC also elevates the threshold for spike generation by excitatory synaptic potentials so as to further dampen EPSP-spike coupling. Ca^{2+} influx through Ca^{2+} channels that open during an action potential activates CaCC to modulate spike duration in the somatoden-dritic region. Likely encoded by TMEM16B rather than TMEM16A, CaCCs reside in the vicinity of voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channels to regulate spike duration and in close proximity of NMDA receptors to modulate excitatory synaptic responses; both forms of regulation are eliminated by internal BAPTA but not EGTA.

RESULTS

Activating Voltage-Gated Ca²⁺ Channels by Depolarizing Hippocampal Pyramidal Neurons Induces a Tail Current that Is Carried by Cl⁻ Ions

Activation of voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels can lead to CaCC activation in smooth muscle and sensory neurons (Frings et al., 2000; Scott et al., 1995). To look for CaCC in hippocampal

pyramidal neurons of acute slices from P14–21 (postnatal day 14–21) mice. Only Ca²⁺ and Cl⁻ ions are possible charge carriers in these experiments because (1) Na⁺ was replaced by NMDG and Na⁺ channels were blocked by tetrodotoxin (TTX) and (2) K⁺ ions were removed and K⁺ channels were blocked with Cs⁺ and tetraethylamonium (TEA) in the internal solution and 4-aminopyridine (4AP) in the external solution, which also included the GABA_A receptor antagonist picrotoxin (Figure 1A). So we went on to test whether Ca²⁺ influx through Ca²⁺ channels activates Cl⁻ channels to generate the tail current.

To verify that Cl⁻ is the ion carrier for the tail current, we determined its reversal potential in three different internal Cl⁻ concentrations. The voltage steps following the prepulse depolarization ranged from -100 mV to -25 mV (5 or 10 mV steps). After subtracting the background current elicited without a depolarization prepulse (-70 mV holding potential without 0 mV depolarization prepulse) from the tail current elicited with a depolarization prepulse (to 0 mV), we plotted the average tail current measured at 150–200 ms after the end of the 0 mV prepulse as a function of voltage to determine the reversal potential (Figure 1B). We found that the reversal potential, plotted as a function of $\log_{10} [Cl^-]_{in}$, followed the Nernst equation with a slope of 58 mV (Figure 1C). These results reveal that this tail current is a Cl⁻ current.





Figure 2. The Tail Current Recorded at Room Temperature from Cultured Hippocampal Pyramidal Neurons Is a Ca²⁺-Activated Cl[−] Current

(A) 100 μ M CdCl₂ eliminates tail current (left) by blocking Ca²⁺ current (right top, red). The Cl⁻ tail current requires Ca²⁺ for activation (black) (right bottom) (n = 10, p < 0.01). See Figure S1B for time course plot.

(B) 10 mM BAPTA in the pipette solution left Ca²⁺ current intact (right top, red) but eliminated tail current (left) (right bottom) (red, n = 12, p < 0.01). (C) With external 2.5 mM Ca²⁺ replaced by 2.5 mM Ba²⁺, Ba²⁺ ions that carry the inward current (right top, red) cannot activate the Cl⁻ tail current (right bottom) (n = 13, p < 0.01). For (A)–(C), scale bar for right top panel is 100 ms, 200 pA, and arrow points to the 150–200 ms time window where the average tail current measurement is taken. See Figure S1C for time course plot.

(D) Tail current diminishes in amplitude as prepulse voltage approaches $E_{Ca} \left(\! >\! + 100 \text{ mV} \right)$ (n = 52).

(E) Tail current increases with increasing duration of prepulse to 0 mV (n = 22, p < 0.01) but not + 100 mV (n = 22, p = 0.9). The error bars are SEM.

To test whether prepulse depolarization to levels approaching the equilibrium potential of Ca²⁺ (E_{Ca}) results in smaller tail current due to the diminishing driving force for Ca²⁺, we varied the prepulse from -70 mV (no depolarization) to +100 mV and measured the tail current (Figure 2D). Indeed, whereas Ca²⁺ influx was minimal at prepulse potentials more negative than -30 mV due to insufficient activation of Ca2+ channels and consequently there was little tail current, the tail current grew with further depolarization and reached a maximum near 0 mV prepulse, and then diminished as the prepulse depolarization approached E_{Ca} (calculated to be > 100 mV).

We next test whether prolonging the prepulse depolarization to increase the Ca^{2+} influx increases the tail current. While the tail current in response to longer prepulse to 0 mV was larger (Figure 2E, white bars), it remained unchanged for

The Tail Current Is Activated by Ca²⁺

To verify that this tail Cl⁻ current is activated by Ca²⁺, first we eliminated Ca²⁺ current with 100 μ M Cd²⁺ (Figure 2A) and found the tail Cl⁻ current absent (Figure 2A). By including 10 mM BAPTA in the whole-cell patch pipette solution to chelate Ca²⁺, we found that the tail current was nearly abolished (Figure 2B). Moreover, replacing external Ca²⁺ with Ba²⁺ (Figure 2C) also eliminated the tail current (Figure 2C). These experiments demonstrate that the tail current requires internal Ca²⁺ for activation.

prepulse to +100 mV regardless of the duration (Figure 2E, black bars). These experiments show that the tail current is a Ca^{2+} -activated Cl^- current.

CaCC Blockers Dose Dependently Reduce the Tail Current and Broaden the Action Potential in Hippocampal Pyramidal Neurons

Next, we tested two classical CaCC blockers, niflumic acid (NFA) and 5-nitro-2-(3-phenylpropylamino) benzoic acid (NPPB).

Neuron Hippocampal CaCC Modulates Neuronal Signaling





Whereas depolarization from -70 mV to 0 mV resulted in an inward Ca2+ current followed by a tail current (tail current measured at -90 mV, E_{CI} = - 46.8 mV), both CaCC blockers reduced the tail current (Figure 3A) while leaving the peak Ca²⁺ current intact.

As shown in Figure 1D for recording from acute slices at 35°C with 2.5 mM external Ca2+, depolarization to 0 mV for one millisecond induced the CaCC tail current that reversed at E_{CI}. We therefore tested whether CaCC can modulate spike waveform by injecting a 2 ms pulse of current to depolarize neurons in hippocampal slices at 35°C to barely reach the threshold for

Figure 3. Ca2+-Activated Cl⁻ Current in Hippocampal Pyramidal Neurons Is Sensitive to Two Different CaCC Blockers and Is Important for **Controlling Action Potential Duration**

(A) CaCC is significantly reduced to 28% ± 5.1% of control by 100 μ M NFA (top left, n = 9, p < 0.001) and to 18% ± 5.9% of control by 100 μ M NPPB (top right, n = 10, p < 0.001). IC_{50} is 33 \pm 2.3 μM for NFA (bottom left, n = 5) and 26.2 ± 1.36 µM for NPPB (bottom right, sequentially increasing blocker concentration at room temperature, n = 5). The membrane voltage was held at -70 mV, depolarized to 0 mV to induce Ca2+ influx and repolarized back to -90 mV to elicit Ca²⁺-activated Cl⁻ current. *p < 0.01. See Figures S1D and S1E for time course plots.

(B) Reducing CaCC with 100 µM NFA (red) causes the spike width to increase by \sim 30% compared to action potentials prior to NFA application (control, black) and after washout of NFA (blue) (top panel). A single action potential is elicited by injecting 2 ms current barely reaching threshold for neurons in acute slices at 35°C in (B) and (C). Bottom panel shows the dose response curve for NFA, which was applied at increasing concentrations sequentially (n = 5). The dose for half maximal spike widening (measured at 1/3 total spike height) is 55.08 ± 5.72 µM NFA; the maximal spike widening corresponds to an increase of the spike width by \sim 65%. *p < 0.05. See Figure S1F for time course plot and experimental details and Figure S4 for CaMKII inhibitor control.

(C) Applying 100 μ M NPPB caused the spike width to increase by ~30% (control, black; NPPB, red; washout, blue) (top panel). Bottom panel shows the dose response curve for NPPB (n = 5). The dose for half maximal spike widening (measured at 1/3 total spike height) is 48.07 ± 10.22 μ M; the maximal spike widening corresponds to an increase of the spike width by ~70%. *p < 0.05. See Figure S1G for time course plot and experimental details. The error bars are SEM

spike generation \sim 90% of the time, and looked for the effect of NFA and NPPB. The resting membrane potential ranged from -65 mV to -70 mV in all our experiments, and we injected a small amount of hyperpolarizing current to bring the membrane potential to -70 mV at the start of the experiment. Indeed, 100 µM NFA caused spike broadening (Figure 3B, top); there was a dose-dependent increase of the spike width (measured at 33% of the spike height) with the maximal spike widening corresponding to an increase by \sim 65% of the control

spike width (Figure 3B, bottom). Similar results were obtained with a second CaCC blocker, NPPB (Figure 3C).

The spike broadening following application of 100 µM NFA was reversible upon washout (see Figure S2A available online; see Figure S1 for time course plots of drug effects). When we shifted E_{CI} from -70 mV to +54 mV by changing internal and external CI⁻ concentrations, 100 μM NFA narrowed the spike width instead (Figure S2B). Importantly, with 10 mM internal BAPTA to chelate Ca²⁺ and prevent CaCC activation, the spike duration was unaffected by NFA (Figure S2C). In these and all following studies, the CaCC blockers had no significant effects on the resting membrane potential or input resistance of hippocampal neurons. These controls verify that the observed NFA effect is specific for CaCC, thereby providing support for our conclusion that CaCC controls action potential repolarization.

TMEM16B Is Expressed in the Hippocampus

To explore the molecular identity of the hippocampal CaCC, we performed RT-PCR and found TMEM16B but not TMEM16A transcript in cultured hippocampal neurons (Figure 4A). In situ hybridization further revealed that the TMEM16B mRNA is present in CA1 and CA3 pyramidal neurons, dentate granule cells and hilar interneurons of the hippocampus (Figure 4G). We next asked whether the TMEM16B protein is also expressed in the hippocampus, by raising a rabbit polyclonal antibody against an epitope in a putative extracellular loop of mouse TMEM16B. The antibody readily detected TMEM16B-mCherry (monomer \sim 132 kDa) expressed in HEK293 cells, but not in control cells cotransfected with enzymatically prepared small interfering RNA (esiRNA) (Kittler et al., 2005) targeting TMEM16B (Figure S3B). Using this rabbit anti-TMEM16B antibody to probe for the endogenous TMEM16B, we detected a band migrating at the expected size of TMEM16B (~105 kDa) in cultured mouse hippocampal neurons (Figure 4H). These studies reveal that TMEM16B is expressed in the hippocampus.

shRNA Knockdown of TMEM16B Reduces CaCC and Retards Action Potential Repolarization in Hippocampal Pyramidal Neurons

To test for the involvement of TMEM16B in hippocampal CaCC, we cloned two independent small hairpin RNAs (shRNAs) targeting different parts of the TMEM16B mRNA, 16B-shRNAs #2 and #5, as well as a scrambled control shRNA into a lentiviral transfer vector that contains the coding sequence for green fluorescence protein (GFP). These TMEM16B-shRNAs reduced the expression of TMEM16B-mCherry fusion proteins in HEK293 cells (Figure S3C) as well as endogenous TMEM16B mRNA in cultured hippocampal neurons 10 days after lentivirus infection (Figure 4B). Whereas these infected neurons displayed normal Ca2+ current, shRNA #2 or shRNA #5 knockdown of TMEM16B reduced the tail current amplitude by $60\% \pm 4.5\%$ and 61% ± 5%, respectively, 12 days after infection (Figures 4C and 4D). Significant reduction of the tail current was also observed at 9 and 10 days after infection (Figure 4D). In contrast, comparison of CA1 pyramidal neurons in hippocampal slices from wild-type (n = 7) and TMEM16A KO mice (n = 11) revealed no significant difference in the tail current (109% ± 9% of control with 0 mV prepulse, p = 0.9) (Figure S3A), indicating that TMEM16B but not TMEM16A is required for the CaCC in hippocampal pyramidal neurons.

Knockdown of TMEM16B by shRNA #2 or shRNA #5, but not the scrambled control RNA, lengthened the action potential duration by 50% \pm 7.6% and 40% \pm 5.2% respectively; the action potential broadening was evident starting at 9 days after infection, as well as 10 and 12 days after infection (Figures 4E). We further tested the effect of shRNA knockdown of TMEM16B by performing whole-cell recording of CaCC elicited by raising intracellular Ca²⁺ in the patch pipette solution from 0 to 0.5 μ M $Ca^{2+},$ and found ${\sim}80\%$ reduction of CaCC current 10 days after lentivirus infection (Figure 4F). These studies indicate that TMEM16B is important for hippocampal CaCC that regulates the spike waveform.

CaCC Shortens Action Potentials in CA3 Pyramidal Neurons without Affecting Transmitter Release from Their Axon Terminals

Blocking CaCC caused spike broadening in CA1 and CA3 pyramidal neurons recorded at 35°C (Figures 3B and 5A) and room temperature (Figure S4), and the spike broadening persisted in the presence of 100 μ M calcium/calmodulin activated kinase II (CaMKII) inhibitor KN62 (Figure S4). Whereas 10 mM internal BAPTA prevented CaCC activation following the opening of Ca²⁺ channels (Figures S2C and 4A), 100 μ M NFA still caused spike broadening when 10 mM EGTA was included in the internal solution (Figure S4A), indicating that CaCCs are in close vicinity of voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels.

We next asked whether CaCC also affects spike duration in the axon terminals. If so, applying CaCC blocker should increase transmitter release from CA3 axon terminals that form synapses with CA1 neurons. First, we performed field recording of the pharmacologically isolated AMPA-fEPSP in the CA1 dendritic field, while stimulating Schaffer collaterals ten times at 10 Hz (Figure 5C). NFA did not alter the AMPA-fEPSPs (106% ± 8.4%, n = 7, p = 0.8). 100 μ M NFA also did not alter the pharmacologically isolated NMDA-EPSCs recorded from individual CA1 pyramidal neurons $(101\% \pm 3.1\%, n = 5, p = 0.2;$ Figure 5D) (external Mg²⁺ was removed to facilitate NMDA receptor activation, and 10 mM internal Cl⁻ was used to minimize the driving force for Cl⁻ ions when E_{Cl} is -64.4 mV and holding potential is -65 mV). Thus, blocking CaCC alters the action potential waveform in the soma without altering transmitter release, indicating that functional CaCCs reside in somatodendritic regions but not the nerve terminals of CA3 pyramidal neurons.

Ca²⁺ Flux through NMDA Receptors (NMDA-Rs) Activates CaCCs

Next, we asked whether CaCCs are near NMDA-Rs to be activated during synaptic responses. To maximize the chance of detecting CaCC activation during voltage-clamp recording of isolated NMDA-EPSC in the presence of 20 μ M CNQX, we replaced external Mg²⁺ with Ca²⁺ and increased the Cl⁻ driving force by including 130 mM Cl⁻ in the whole-cell patch pipette solution (E_{Cl} ~0 mV) and holding the cell at -65 mV (65 mV driving force), so that CaCC activation would result in Cl⁻ efflux thus enhancing the NMDA-EPSCs elicited from CA1 pyramidal neurons in acute slices (P14–21) by stimulating Schaffer collaterals every 20 s. As shown in Figure 5E, blocking CaCC with NFA reduced the NMDA-EPSC by 28% ± 4.3% (n = 10, p < 0.05), indicating that CaCC is in the vicinity of NMDA-Rs to be activated by the Ca²⁺ influx through NMDA-Rs.

Importantly, when 10 mM of BAPTA was included in the 130 mM Cl⁻ internal solution, NFA no longer had effect on NMDA-EPSC (100% \pm 1.4%, n = 10, p = 0.13; Figure 5F), providing further evidence that NFA has no presynaptic effect on transmitter release. In contrast, when we included 10 mM EGTA in the patch pipette solution with 130 mM Cl⁻, 100 μ M



Figure 4. TMEM16B Is Expressed in Hippocampal Pyramidal Neurons and Is Important for the Control of Action Potential Duration and the Generation of CaCC

(A) RT-PCR reveals TMEM16B (top) but not TMEM16A (middle) expression in neurons 8, 14, and 20 days in vitro (DIV). β-actin is included as loading control (bottom).

(B) Quantitative RT-PCR revealed that 16B-shRNAs #2 (left) and #5 (right) reduced the expression of TMEM16B mRNA to $54\% \pm 1.0\%$ (n = 3) and $37\% \pm 5.5\%$ (n = 3) of scrambled shRNA control respectively. *p < 0.05. See Figure S3C for additional control for knockdown of TMEM16B expression by 16B-shRNA #2 and #5.

(C) CaCC tail current from cultured hippocampal pyramidal neurons at room temperature is significantly reduced (by 67.5%) by TMEM16B shRNA knockdown (red), but not by scrambled RNA control (black). Inset (top left): TMEM16B knockdown does not affect the Ca²⁺ current.

(D) Ca²⁺-activated Cl⁻ current is reduced by $60\% \pm 4.5\%$ and $61\% \pm 5\%$ by TMEM16B shRNA #2 and #5, respectively (scrambled, n = 17, shRNA #2, n = 18, p < 0.01, shRNA #5, n = 12, p < 0.01, 12 days after infection).

(E) Left, TMEM16B shRNA #2 knockdown broadens spike waveform (red) as compared to scrambled RNA control (black) (12 days after infection). Right, summary of the effect of TMEM16B-shRNA #2 and #5 on action potential duration (resting potential ~65 mV).

(F) In neurons recorded (with \sim 140 mM Cl⁻ on both sides) at 35°C 10 days after infection with lentivirus for scrambled shRNA (top panels), raising intracellular Ca²⁺ from 0 (left) to 0.5 μ M Ca²⁺ (right) gives rise to CaCC that is blocked by 300 μ M NFA. This CaCC current was greatly reduced in neurons infected with shRNA #5 (middle panels). Current-voltage curves (bottom panels) plotted for current recorded by applying voltage steps from -80 to +80 mV (10 mV intervals) from holding potential of 0 mV (E_{Cl} = 0) without intracellular Ca²⁺ (left, bottom) and with 0.5 μ M intracellular Ca²⁺ (right, bottom), show that 16B-shRNA #2 and #5 reduced CaCC in hippocampal neurons by ~80% at positive voltages. *p < 0.01.

NFA still reduced NMDA-EPSC by $32 \pm 9\%$ (n = 5, p < 0.01; Figure 5G). As summarized in the histogram (Figure 5H), Ca²⁺ influx through NMDA-Rs is capable of activating CaCCs that are in close proximity so that the slower Ca²⁺ chelator EGTA, but not the fast Ca²⁺ chelator BAPTA, allows CaCC activation for feedback modulation of NMDA-EPSCs.

To explore the physiological contribution of CaCCs, first we performed field recording of the pharmacologically isolated NMDA-fEPSP in the CA1 dendritic field in the presence of 2.5 mM Ca²⁺ and 1.3 mM Mg². During a 10 Hz stimulation of Schaffer collaterals, the NMDA-fEPSPs gradually increased (Figure S5, left). Bath application of NFA enhanced the third, sixth, and tenth (n = 8; third, 138.4% ± 1.5%, p < 0.01; sixth, 145% ± 6.6%, p < 0.01; tenth, 140 ± 6.4%, p < 0.01) but not the first NMDA-fEPSP (105% ± 0.5%, n = 8, p = 0.8) (Figure S5, right), indicating that only when consecutive synaptic responses cause sufficient Ca²⁺ buildup for CaCC activation does NFA exert an effect on the synaptic response.

Next, we recorded the pharmacologically isolated NMDA-EPSPs in CA1 pyramidal neurons while stimulating Schaffer collaterals at 10 Hz and asked whether CaCC plays a role in NMDA-EPSP-spike coupling. In the presence of 10 mM internal CI⁻, 100 μ M NFA enhanced NMDA-EPSP-spike coupling; NMDA-EPSPs summate to spike much later (first spike occurring most frequently at the tenth synaptic response) when CaCC is intact than when CaCC is blocked by 100 μ M NFA (first spike occurring most frequently at the fourth or fifth responses) (Figure S6A). Thus, when CaCC is blocked by NFA, neurons fire spikes more readily with reduced average latency to first spike and increased average number of spikes per train (Figure S6B; n = 10, p < 0.001).

The CaCC function depends on the CI⁻ concentration gradient because when we elevated the internal CI⁻ level to 130 mM (E_{CI} \sim 0 mV), reducing CaCC with 100 μ M NFA delayed spike initiation, increased the average latency to first spike and reduced the average number of spikes generated (Figures S6C and S6D; n = 10, p < 0.001). Thus, whereas CaCC normally acts as an inhibitory brake on NMDA-EPSP to spike coupling, elevating internal CI⁻ concentration during neuronal activity or dysfunction could cause CaCC to provide positive feedback and enhance excitation.

CaCC Provides a Brake to the Excitatory Synaptic Response, Temporal Summation, and EPSP-Spike Coupling under Physiological Conditions at 35°C

To further explore the physiological contribution of CaCCs to synaptic responses, we stimulated Schaffer collaterals at 100–200 microns from the CA1 pyramidal cell body layer every 30 s and recorded from CA1 pyramidal neurons at 35° C in physiological solution plus picrotoxin to block GABA_A receptors. Reducing CaCC with 100 μ M NFA increased the amplitude of large but not small synaptic potentials (Figure 6A), most likely because

the former involved NMDA receptor activation. Indeed, in the presence of 100 μ M APV to block NMDA receptors, the EPSP was no longer affected by 100 μ M NFA (Figure 5I), regardless the stimulus intensity (Figure 5J).

Under physiological condition with 10 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} (Figure 6B, left panel), reducing CaCC with 100 μ M NFA amplified EPSPs of large amplitude. In 130 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} (Figure 6B, middle panel), however, reducing CaCC with 100 μ M NFA dampened EPSPs of large amplitude. NFA had no effect on EPSP amplitude when BAPTA was included with 10 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} to chelate Ca²⁺ (Figure 6B, right panel). These controls reinforce the notion that the NFA block of CaCC affects the large synaptic potentials that involve activation of NMDA-Rs (6 mV EPSP: 147% ± 2.9%, n = 10, p < 0.05).

To test whether CaCCs also play a role in EPSP summation to regulate synaptic integration, we delivered 3 nerve stimuli at 40 Hz. Using the ratio of the third EPSP over the first EPSP as a measure of the efficacy of EPSP summation, we observed that reducing CaCC with 100 μ M NFA enhanced the summation efficacy by increasing the size of the larger EPSPs (third EPSP) while leaving the first EPSP (EPSPs \leq 2 mV) unchanged (Figure 6C). While reducing CaCC with 100 μ M NFA enhanced EPSP summation under physiological conditions with 10 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} (Figure 6D, left panel), 100 μ M NFA reduced EPSP summation in 130 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} (Figure 6D, middle panel). NFA had no effect on EPSP summation when BAPTA was included with 10 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} to chelate Ca²⁺ (Figure 6D, right panel). These controls reinforce the conclusion that CaCC modulates synaptic input integration in hippocampal neurons.

Lastly, to test whether CaCCs contribute to EPSP-spike coupling, we applied five nerve stimuli at 40 Hz. Using nerve stimulation that generated EPSPs too small to reach threshold for spike initiation even with temporal summation in control conditions (Figure 6E, control, black), we found that reducing CaCC activity with 100 µM NFA enhanced EPSP-spike coupling and helped neurons to reach threshold for spike firing (Figure 6E. red). Whereas under physiological conditions with 10 mM [CI⁻]_{in} (Figure 6F, left panel), reducing CaCC with 100 µM NFA enhanced EPSP-spike coupling, in 130 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} (Figure 6F, middle panel) 100 µM NFA dampened EPSP-spike coupling. NFA had no effect on EPSP-spike coupling when BAPTA was included with 10 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} to chelate Ca²⁺ (Figure 6F, right panel). Thus, CaCC modulates EPSP-spike coupling in a Ca²⁺dependent manner (Table 1) by raising the threshold for spike generation by EPSP under physiological conditions, whereas with elevated internal CI⁻ CaCC acts to reduce the threshold instead (Table 1).

Taken together, these studies show that CaCC normally acts as an inhibitory brake on action potential duration, EPSP size, EPSP summation as well as EPSP-spike coupling (Table 1). As illustrated in control studies with elevated internal Cl⁻ (Table 1), raising internal Cl⁻ concentration during neuronal activity or

(H) TMEM16B protein is detected in cultured hippocampal neurons at 13, 15, and 17 DIV by western blotting. * indicates a nonspecific band. Bottom panel is the neuron-specific β -tubulin as a loading control. See Figure S3B for antibody specificity controls. The error bars are SEM.

⁽G) TMEM16B mRNA expression in mouse dentate granule cells, hilar interneurons, CA1 pyramidal neurons (top) and CA3 pyramidal neurons (bottom) as revealed by in situ hybridization.



Figure 5. CaCC Shortens the Duration of Action Potentials Recorded in CA3 Pyramidal Neurons, but Does Not Affect Transmitter Release from Their Axon Terminals upon Stimulation of Schaffer Collaterals (Acute Slices, 35°C)

(A and B) Reducing CaCC in CA3 neurons in acute hippocampal slices with 100 μ M NFA (red) slows the action potential repolarization compared to action potentials before applying NFA (control, black) and after washout of NFA (blue) (A). (B) NFA increases CA3 spike duration. Control, black, 0.99 \pm 0.04 ms; NFA, red, 1.41 \pm 0.036 ms; n = 10, *p < 0.01. See Figure S1H for time course plot and experimental details and Figures S2 and S4 for controls of the NFA effect.

(C) In the presence of 100 μ M APV, the AMPA-fEPSP (black) induced by 10 nerve stimuli at 10 Hz was not altered by 100 μ M NFA (red) (n = 7, p = 0.8). Blue, 20 μ M CNQX applied at the end of the experiment. The sixth response is shown in each case. See Figure S1R for time course plot.

(D) At the holding potential of -65 mV (E_{CI} = -64.4 mV with 10 mM [CI⁻]_{in}), the NMDA-EPSC (black) was not altered by 100 μ M NFA (red) (n = 5, p = 0.2). Blue,

dysfunction could cause CaCC to provide positive feedback and enhance excitation.

DISCUSSION

This study documents the existence and physiological functions of Ca²⁺-activated Cl⁻ channels (CaCCs) in hippocampal pyramidal neurons. In this study, we show that hippocampal pyramidal neurons have functional CaCCs, and their function depends on TMEM16B but not TMEM16A. We have further examined the physiological roles of CaCCs, as summarized below.

The evidence for CaCC in hippocampal pyramidal neurons includes: (1) activation of voltage-gated Ca²⁺ channels induces a tail current that reverses at E_{CI} (Figure 1). (2) This CI⁻ current is activated by Ca²⁺, and its size varies with the amount of Ca²⁺ influx (Figure 2). (3) The tail current is blocked by two structurally distinct CaCC blockers, NFA and NPPB (Figure 3A). (4) This tail current is greatly reduced by shRNA knockdown of TMEM16B, which encodes a CaCC (Figures 4C and 4D), (5) shRNA knockdown of TMEM16B also eliminates the bulk of the outwardly rectifying, NFA-sensitive, Ca2+-activated Cl- current of hippocampal neurons (Figure 4F). Notwithstanding the presence of CLC-3 voltage-gated Cl⁻ channels that require CaMKII for activation in immature hippocampal neurons (Wang et al., 2006), we found spike broadening by CaCC blockers with or without the CaMKII inhibitor KN62, suggesting that the CaCC control of hippocampal neuronal spike waveform is attributable to TMEM16B, but not CLC-3. Given that shRNA knockdown led to partial removal of TMEM16B, the tail current, and CaCC (Figures 4B-4F), it remains possible that CaCC channel proteins other than TMEM16B also contribute to hippocampal CaCC.

Our finding of action potential broadening in hippocampal pyramidal neurons treated with the CaCC blocker NFA or

100 μM APV applied at the end of the experiment (recorded in the presence of CNQX). See Figure S1S for time course plot.

(E-H) When CaCC contribution is maximized by increasing the driving force for Cl^- (E_{Cl} {\sim}0 \,mV with 130 mM [Cl^-]_in, holding potential at $-65\,mV$) and removing Mg²⁺ block of NMDA-Rs by using a zero-Mg²⁺/4 mM Ca²⁺ external solution, 100 μM NFA (red) reduces NMDA-EPSC (black) by 28% \pm 4.3% (n = 10, p < 0.05) (E). Blue, 100 µM APV applied at the end of the experiment. See Figure S1T for time course plot. (F) Chelating internal Ca²⁺ by including 10 mM BAPTA in a 130 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} pipette solution eliminates the effect of 100 μ M NFA on NMDA-EPSC (black, 20 μM CNQX; red, 100 μM NFA + 20 μM CNQX; blue, 100 μ M APV + 20 μ M CNQX; n = 10, p = 0.13). See Figure S1U for time course plot. (G) With 10 mM EGTA in a 130 mM [Cl^-]_in pipette solution, 100 μM NFA (red) reduces NMDA-EPSC (black) by 32% \pm 9% (n = 5, p < 0.01). Blue, 100 μM APV applied at the end of the experiment. See Figure S1V for time course plot. (H) CaCC contributes to NMDA-EPSC when there is maximal driving force for CI^{-} (E, n = 10, p < 0.05) and when there is Ca^{2+} influx through NMDA-Rs (G, n = 5, p < 0.01). Unlike BAPTA that quickly chelates Ca²⁺ (F, n = 10), the slow Ca²⁺ chelator EGTA cannot eliminate CaCC modulation of NMDA-EPSC (G, n = 5). (I) AMPA-EPSPs recorded from CA1 neurons (black) by stimulating axons of CA3 neurons are not affected by the NFA block of CaCCs (red). These traces are superimposed with the AMPA-EPSP recorded after washout of NFA (blue). See Figure S1W for time course plot.

(J) Blocking CaCC with NFA had no effect on pharmacologically isolated AMPA-EPSPs regardless of stimulation intensities or AMPA-EPSP amplitudes (n = 11, p > 0.05). See Figure S5 for NFA effect on pharmacologically isolated NMDA-fEPSP.

The error bars are SEM.



Figure 6. CaCC Activation by Ca²⁺ Influx through NMDA-R Provides a Brake to Excitatory Synaptic Responses, Temporal Summation, and EPSP-Spike Coupling (Acute Slices, 35°C)

(A) 100 μ M NFA (red) increases the amplitude of large (6 mV) EPSP (right) to 147% \pm 2.9% of control, n = 10, p < 0.05) without affecting small (left, n = 10, p > 0.05) excitatory synaptic potentials.

(B) Reducing CaCC with 100 μ M NFA amplifies the larger EPSPs under physiological conditions (left, n = 10, p < 0.05), but dampens the larger EPSPs in the presence of 130 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} (middle, n = 9, p < 0.05). 100 μ M NFA has no effect on EPSP amplitude when BAPTA is included with 10 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} to chelate Ca²⁺ (right, n = 16, p > 0.05). The input-output relations summarize averages of ten recordings in each condition. *p < 0.05. See Figures S1X–S1Z for time course plots and Table 1 and Supplemental Information for experimental details.

(C) A burst of three nerve stimuli at 40 Hz results in EPSPs that summate and grow progressively. Reducing CaCC with 100 μ M NFA (red) enhances the summation efficacy (the ratio of third EPSP over first EPSP) while leaving the first EPSP (EPSPs \leq 2 mV) unchanged (black, control prior to NFA application). Blue, 20 μ M CNQX and 100 μ M APV application at the end of the experiment.

(D) Reducing CaCC with 100 μ M NFA enhances summation and increases the third/first EPSP ratio under physiological conditions (left, n = 8, p < 0.01), but has the opposite effect in 130 mM [CI⁻]_{in} (middle, n = 9, p < 0.01). 100 μ M NFA has no effect on EPSP summation when BAPTA is included with 10 mM [CI⁻]_{in} to chelate Ca²⁺ (right, n = 5 p > 0.05). See Figure S1A'–S1C' for time course plots and Table 1 and Supplemental Information for experimental details.

(E) A burst of five nerve stimuli at 40 Hz generates EPSPs that summate to reach the threshold after (red) but not before (black) application of 100 μ M NFA. Blue, 20 μ M CNQX and 100 μ M APV application at the end of the experiment. Five superimposed recordings are shown.

(F) Reducing CaCC with 100 μ M NFA enhances EPSP-spike coupling under physiological conditions (left, n = 7, p < 0.01) but dampens EPSP-spike coupling in 130 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} (middle, n = 8, p < 0.01). 100 μ M NFA has no effect on EPSP-spike coupling when BAPTA is included with 10 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} to chelate Ca²⁺ (right, n = 5, p > 0.05). See Figures S1D'–S1F' for time course plots and Figure S6 for NFA effect on pharmacologically isolated NMDA-EPSP-spike coupling. The error bars are SEM.

Table 1. CaCC Modulates Action Potential Duration, EPSP Amplitude and Temporal Summation, and EPSP-Spike Coupling						
	Low [Cl ⁻]in		High [Cl ⁻]in		Low [Cl [_]]in + BAPTA	
100 μM NFA	_	+	_	+	-	+
Spike duration (ms)	0.97 ± 0.09	1.32 ± 0.10	1.83 ± 0.04	1.43 ± 0.03	2.08 ± 0.05	2.03 ± 0.03
	(n = 6, p < 0.001)		(n = 6, p < 0.001)		(n = 5, p = 0.39)	
EPSP amplitude (mV)	6.17 ± 0.21	$\textbf{9.30} \pm \textbf{0.36}$	$\textbf{6.20} \pm \textbf{0.25}$	$\textbf{4.34} \pm \textbf{0.24}$	6.21 ± 0.90	6.18 ± 0.51
	(n = 10, p < 0.05)		(n = 9, p < 0.05)		(n = 6, p = 0.42)	
3rd EPSP / 1st EPSP	$\textbf{3.19} \pm \textbf{0.19}$	$\textbf{4.27} \pm \textbf{0.42}$	$\textbf{5.04} \pm \textbf{0.25}$	$\textbf{3.38} \pm \textbf{0.18}$	3.30 ± 0.19	3.29 ± 0.17
(temporal summation)	(n = 8, p < 0.01)		(n = 9, p < 0.01)		(n = 5, p = 0.95)	
Voltage Threshold						
2 ms current to induce	-37.42 ± 1.79	-36.66 ± 1.32	-54.65 ± 1.27	-53.91 ± 1.59	-36.21 ± 1.67	-35.16 ± 1.73
spike (mV)	(n = 9, p = 0.3)		(n = 12, p = 0.22)		(n = 8, p = 0.4)	
Single EPSP to spike	-42.45 ± 0.71	-45.11 ± 0.62	-53.71 ± 1.1	-50.29 ± 1.12	-43.37 ± 0.54	-43.11 ± 0.55
(mV)	(n = 19, p < 0.01)		(n = 12, p < 0.01)		(n = 6, p = 0.4)	
Burst of EPSPs to spike	-43.75 ± 0.66	-46.56 ± 0.51	-55.95 ± 0.54	-53.01 ± 0.82	-46.65 ± 0.25	-46.18 ± 0.32
(mV)	(n = 9, p < 0.01)		(n = 8, p < 0.05)		(n = 5, p = 0.3)	

All experiments included in this table were done in acute slices at 35° C. For physiological Cl⁻ conditions (Low [Cl⁻]_{in}): 10 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} and 129 mM [Cl⁻]_{out} for E_{Cl} = -64.4 mV. To prevent internal calcium rise (Low [Cl⁻]_{in} + BAPTA), 10 mM BAPTA was added to the pipette solution with 10 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} to chelate internal Ca²⁺. Controls with inverted Cl⁻ gradient for experiments involving action potential (generated via 2 ms current injection) had 130 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} and 15 mM [Cl⁻]_{out} (E_{Cl} = + 54 mV). Controls with flattened Cl⁻ gradient for experiments involving EPSPs (generated by stimulation of Schaffer collaterals) had 130 mM [Cl⁻]_{in} and 130 mM [Cl⁻]_{out} (E_{Cl} = 0 mV). Measurements showing statistically significant effects of NFA are in bold. See Figure S2 for controls with raised internal chloride concentration and controls with inclusion of BAPTA in internal solution.

NPPB, or shRNA to knock down TMEM16B, suggests that CaCC shortens spike duration, similar to BK channels (Adams et al., 1982; Lancaster and Nicoll, 1987; Storm, 1987a, 1987b). Interestingly, whereas BK channels regulate transmitter release most likely due to their control of spike waveform in the nerve terminal, blocking CaCCs does not affect transmitter release, indicating a paucity of active CaCCs in the axon terminals. Importantly, Ca^{2+} influx through NMDA-Rs also activates CaCCs, which provide a brake to excitatory synaptic responses, analogous to the actions of the SK type of Ca^{2+} -activated K⁺ channels. These findings suggest that CaCCs in somatodendritic regions of hippocampal pyramidal neurons are involved in adjusting the extent of synaptic excitation and controlling the waveform of the action potential.

How could CaCC in hippocampal neurons have escaped notice for so long? Cation channels are the focus of extensive analyses of action potentials in hippocampal neurons, from in vivo recordings half a century ago (Kandel and Spencer, 1961) to recent studies (Bean, 2007). Involvement of Cl⁻ channels was deemed unlikely early on because impaling neurons with KCl-filled electrodes leads to a reversal of inhibitory synaptic potentials without any obvious alteration of the action potential when compared to action potentials recorded with sharp electrodes containing potassium acetate (Storm, 1987a). However, this differential sensitivity may have arisen from a difference in the acetate permeability of different Cl⁻ channels (Bormann et al., 1987; Hartzell et al., 2005).

CaCC Modulates Action Potential Waveform, the Size and Integration of Excitatory Synaptic Potentials, and EPSP-Spike Coupling under Physiological Conditions

Under physiological conditions CaCCs contribute to the modulation of action potential duration, excitatory synaptic response,

EPSP summation and EPSP-spike coupling in hippocampal neurons (Table 1). Importantly, in 130 mM [CI⁻]_{in}—with CI⁻ current excitatory rather than inhibitory—the CaCC blocker has opposite effects on the action potential and synaptic potentials. Furthermore, inclusion of 10 mM BAPTA to chelate internal Ca²⁺ and prevent CaCC contribution abolished the effect of the CaCC blocker. These control experiments confirm that the observed effects of the CaCC blocker are indeed dependent on both Ca²⁺ and Cl⁻. Taken together with the fact that the CaCC blocker had no effect on the resting potential and input resistance of hippocampal neurons, these pharmacological studies provide evidence for CaCC modulation of several physiological functions in hippocampal neurons discussed below.

Action potentials induced by 2 ms current injection under physiological conditions were broadened by blocking CaCC with 100 μ M NFA while the voltage threshold remained unchanged (Table 1)—as expected since the brief current injection would not have caused sufficient activation of Ca²⁺ channels and CaCC to alter the threshold, whereas elevating internal Cl⁻ caused the CaCC blocker NFA to narrow the action potential instead of widening it, also without altering the threshold (Table 1). These experiments further illustrate the flexibility of CaCC modulation as the internal Cl⁻ level changes with neuronal activity.

Blocking CaCC enhanced the large but not small EPSPs under physiological conditions (Table 1)—because NMDA receptor activation requires sufficient depolarization. Moreover, CaCC activity reduced EPSP summation and raised the threshold of action potentials elicited by stimulating presynaptic axons (Table 1). In contrast to brief depolarization via current injection, EPSPs of sufficient size to approach threshold would have activated NMDA receptors to open CaCC channels that in turn would influence the spike threshold. Whereas under physiological conditions CaCC acts as a brake to reduce excitatory potential and raise the threshold for synaptic potentials to trigger spike generation, CaCC modulation could change qualitatively—to exaggerate the impact of excitatory synaptic inputs – if the Cl⁻ driving force is altered by neuronal activity.

Possible Physiological Implications of CaCC Modulation of Action Potential Duration

Controlling action potential duration in different locations of a neuron has different physiological consequences. At the axon terminal, the spike duration dictates the amount of Ca2+ influx and the resultant transmitter release (Hu et al., 2001; Lingle et al., 1996; Petersen and Maruyama, 1984; Raffaelli et al., 2004; Robitaille et al., 1993). In the somatodendritic region, the spike waveform determines the firing pattern. We found that CaCCs control the duration of action potentials in the somatodendritic region but not the axon terminals of CA3 pyramidal neurons. Thus, unlike BK, CaCC modulates neuronal signaling by controlling the number of action potentials that can be generated by a burst of synaptic inputs without influencing the signaling strength of each action potential, namely its ability to trigger transmitter release. This finding also indicates that the spike waveform is likely not uniform throughout the neuron, as shown in previous studies (Geiger and Jonas, 2000).

What might be the physiological impact of CaCC modulation of action potential in the somatodendritic region? Given that the CaCCs are activated by Ca²⁺ influx through NMDA receptors, CaCC likely exerts its influence over excitability in the somatodendritic region where an altered spike waveform impacts neuronal signaling in at least two possible ways: firing pattern and coincidence detection.

Changing spike duration can alter the firing pattern, as in the case of BK channels (Madison and Nicoll, 1984; Shao et al., 1999). Not only does the number of action potentials generated during a barrage of synaptic activities dictate the strength of the signal, the message conveyed also depends critically on the temporal pattern of spike firing. We have found that reducing CaCC activity could facilitate the EPSP-spike coupling, causing a short train of synaptic activities to transition from a single spike or no spike at all to a burst of action potentials, indicating that CaCC modulation could adjust neuronal signaling both quantitatively and qualitatively.

Action potentials can back-propagate into the dendrite of hippocampal pyramidal neurons (Hoffman et al., 1997; Migliore et al., 1999). Modulation of the duration of back-propagating action potential invading the dendritic tree is likely to have a strong impact not only on dendritic excitability, but also on coincidence detection of synaptic inputs—the basis of synaptic plasticity. The relative timing between an incoming synaptic potential and a back-propagating spike can determine whether the synapse giving rise to the synaptic potential is potentiated or depressed (Caporale and Dan, 2008; Dan and Poo, 2004). A broader spike could conceivably widen the time window during which a synaptic signal can be potentiated.

Implications of CaCC Modulation of EPSP Amplitude, EPSP Summation, and EPSP-Spike Coupling

This study provides evidence for the involvement of Ca²⁺activated Cl⁻ channels in the negative feedback to rein in the excitatory synaptic responses. Remarkably, NFA block of CaCC increased synaptic potentials in a way similar to the apamin block of SK channels (Ngo-Anh et al., 2005).

Reducing CaCC activity facilitates EPSP summation by leaving the earlier, smaller EPSP intact and amplifying the later, larger EPSPs (Figure 6A; Table 1). This activity-dependent modulation is more nuanced than simple EPSP modulation and has two important implications (1) CaCC only reins in large EPSPs that have the potential of bringing the neuron to firing an action potential; CaCC acts as a brake, but not on all EPSPs. (2) Once CaCC is activated by Ca²⁺ influx through NMDA-Rs during a barrage of synaptic responses or Ca²⁺ from other cellular processes, the neuronal signaling outcome will be influenced by CaCC modulation of EPSP summation and the threshold for spike generation by EPSP. CaCC thus dynamically gates the information flow between neurons, and it only does so when there are sufficient neuronal activities to raise internal calcium level. In other words, the signaling power of a single EPSP depends on the level of CaCC activation; the extent of CaCC activation by neuronal activities dictates how much a synaptic input actually counts in terms of its ability to generate action potential in one neuron to signal other neurons.

CaCC Modulation Is Qualitatively Different When CI⁻ Gradient Is Altered

In contrast to physiological conditions with 10 mM $[CI^-]_{in}$ (E_{CI} near the resting potential), whole-cell recording with 130 mM $[CI^-]_{in}$ revealed that, while the membrane voltage and input resistance remained unchanged, the spike duration is longer and the threshold for spike generation is lowered (Table 1; Figure S2). While the former effect is attributable to CaCC, the latter may also involve Cl⁻ channels that are constitutively active in a resting neuron. Whereas under physiological conditions both K⁺ efflux through K⁺ channels and Cl⁻ influx through Cl⁻ channel activation during depolarization in setting the threshold for spike generation, elevating internal Cl⁻ leads to Cl⁻ efflux through these Cl⁻ channels thereby enhancing rather than dampening the excitability.

CaCC Modulation May Be Modified by Changes in the Cl⁻ Gradient during Physiological and Pathological Conditions

During normal development, a neuron switches from higher internal Cl⁻ to lower internal Cl⁻, shifting E_{Cl} and converting Cl⁻ channel activity from excitatory to inhibitory (Ben-Ari, 2002). Whereas most mature neurons normally have low internal Cl⁻ (5–10 mM) to allow Cl⁻ channels to provide inhibition, extended periods of high neuronal activity or pathological conditions such as seizures and brain traumas can lead to accumulation of internal Cl⁻ and revert Cl⁻ channel activity back to an excitatory conductance as that during development (Blaesse et al., 2009; De Koninck, 2007; Payne et al., 2003).

The susceptibility of cation-chloride cotransporters to modulation renders the Cl⁻ gradient a dynamic readout of neuronal activity. For example, in mature hippocampal neurons, spike firing can alter the Cl⁻ gradient via activity-dependent phosphorylation of KCC2, a K⁺-Cl⁻ co-transporter that normally extrudes Cl⁻, resulting in Cl⁻ accumulation and a positive shift in E_{Cl} (Fiumelli et al., 2005; Woodin et al., 2003). This activity-dependent shift in the Cl⁻ gradient will cause CaCCs to progressively lose their grip over the action potential duration and threshold for spike initiation by synaptic potentials, as well as EPSP amplitude and summation.

Epilepsy patients exhibit upregulation of NKCC1 (Cl⁻ accumulator) and downregulation of KCC2 (Cl⁻ extruder) in the temporal lobe, resulting in a positive shift in E_{Cl} (Palma et al., 2006). In hippocampal slices, KCC2 undergoes downregulation after sustained interictal-like activity in zero-Mg²⁺ conditions (Rivera et al., 2004). Similar positive shift in E_{Cl} due to altered Cl⁻ gradient also takes place with brain trauma (Bonislawski et al., 2007) and axonal injury (Nabekura et al., 2002). Thus, impairments of Cl⁻ homeostasis would turn CaCC modulation into positive feedback to further exacerbate the excitotoxicity.

In addition to modulation of the cation-chloride cotransporters, neuronal activities causing extracellular K⁺ accumulation will result in accumulation of internal Cl⁻: High neuronal activity—be it physiological during heightened neuronal activity or pathological during epilepsy or head trauma—depolarizes the cell membrane and activates voltage-gated K⁺ channels, leading to K⁺ efflux and accumulation of K⁺ in the extracellular space (Blaesse et al., 2009). This external K⁺ accumulation reduces the driving force for K⁺-Cl⁻ cotransporters, which rely on the K⁺ concentration gradient to extrude Cl⁻. The resultant Cl⁻ accumulation inside the cell then shifts E_{Cl} to a more positive voltage, making Cl⁻ conductance more excitatory.

The fact that CaCC is modulated not only by changing Ca^{2+} levels but also by adjustment of the Cl⁻ gradient raises intriguing questions as to how CaCC contributes to neuronal signaling under the very relevant physiological and pathological conditions that will lead to dynamic changes of Ca²⁺ and Cl⁻ levels in hippocampal pyramidal neurons.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Animal Care and Use

The care and use of animals follow the guidelines of the UCSF Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. C57BL/6 mice were from Charles River Laboratories. TMEM16A knockout mice were provided by Drs. Jason R. Rock and Brian D. Harfe.

Primary Hippocampal Neuron Culture

Hippocampal neurons were isolated from embryonic day 17 C57BL/6 mouse brains, and plated at $2.5-3 \times 10^4$ cells per cm² on poly-L-lysine treated coverslips or culture dishes as described (Fu et al., 2007).

In Situ Hybridization

C57BL/6 mice (2–3 months old) were deeply anesthetized and then perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) (pH 7.4) before removing the brain for further fixation in 4% paraformaldehyde/PBS overnight. in situ hybridization was performed using a digoxigenin-labeled RNA probe complementary to the mouse *TMEM16B* mRNA, on 20 μ m cryostat sections. See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for more details.

RT-PCR and Quantitative RT-PCR

For RT-PCR, total RNA from cultured hippocampal neurons was extracted with Trizol (Invitrogen). One to two micrograms total RNA was used for cDNA synthesis with SuperScript III First-Strand Synthesis System for RT-PCR (Invitrogen). See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for primers used in PCR amplification.

For quantitative RT-PCR, total RNA was extracted from hippocampal cultures (10⁵ cells) with Trizol LS reagent (Invitrogen) and purified with RNeasy MinElute Kit (QIAGEN) following the manufacturers' instructions. All the isolated RNA was used in a reverse transcription reaction to synthesize cDNA using the High Capacity RNA to cDNA Master Mix (Applied Biosystems). Quantitative PCR was performed with Power SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Applied Biosystems) in the ABI 7900TH Sequence Detection PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Four microliters and 0.4 microliters of cDNA were used to amplified *TMEM16B* and an internal control *GAPDH*, respectively (Kimura et al., 2005). Significance of the results was determined using Student's t test. See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for more details.

TMEM16B Antibody Generation

A rabbit polyclonal antibody was generated against an epitope of mouse TMEM16B protein (QLKEGTQPENSQFDQE) and affinity-purified with the immunizing peptide (Yenzym, South San Francisco, CA).

Protein Extraction and Western Blotting

Hippocampal cultures were lysed for 30 min in a lysis buffer (2% sodium dodecyl sulfate [SDS], 100 mM dithiothreitol, Complete Proteinase Inhibitor [EDTA-free, Roche Applied Science], and PBS [pH 7.5]). Lysates were centrifuged at 16,000 × g for 10 min. The supernatants were retained for SDS-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. Protein samples were resolved with 4%–12% polyacrylamide gels, and subsequently electroblotted to polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membranes. Blots were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4°C, followed by incubation with HRP-linked secondary antibodies. Signals were visualized using ECL Plus reagent (GE Healthcare) and CL-XPosure Film (Thermo Scientific). The following primary antibodies were used: purified polyclonal rabbit anti-TMEM16B (1:500), mouse anti- α -tubulin (1:1,000, Sigma-Aldrich), mouse anti- β -tubulin (1:1,000, Covance), rabbit anti-DsRed (1:1,000, Clontech).

shRNA and Lentivirus Infection

The target sequences of TMEM16B-shRNA #2, 16B-shRNA #5 and scramble shRNA are 5'- GCCTCCATCTTGTTATGATT-3' (clone TRCN0000 127010, Open Biosystems), 5'- GCCATCTTGTTTATGATT-3' (clone TRC N0000127013, Open Biosystems), and 5'- CCTAAGGTTAAGTCGCCTCG-3' (Addgene plasmid 1864) (Sarbassov et al., 2005), respectively. The shRNAs were cloned into pSicoR-GFP lentiviral transfer vector as described by Dr. Tyler Jacks laboratory (http://web.mit.edu/jacks-lab/protocols/pSico. html). Lentiviruses carrying the shRNAs were packaged and concentrated at the UCSF Sandler Center Lentiviral RNAi Core.

Hippocampal cultures (10⁵ cells at 4 DIV) were infected with lentiviruses expressing a scrambled shRNA, TMEM16B-shRNA #2 or #5. Tail current and action potential recordings were performed and compared between GFP-expressing neurons 8–12 days after infection. Total RNA was extracted 10 days after infection for quantitative RT-PCR analysis.

Electrophysiology

Hippocampal Culture Recording

Whole-cell recordings were performed on individual cultured pyramidal neurons at 14–21 days in vitro. Pyramidal neurons were distinguishable by their relatively large size, lower input resistance (100–200 MΩ), and prominent apical dendrite. The recording pipettes were made from borosilicate glass capillaries (P-97 Sutter Instrument, 1.5 mm/0.86 mm) and pulled on the day of use (3–4 MΩ). All internal solutions have pH 7.2–7.4 and ~300 mosm. All external solutions were made fresh the day of use). The bath was constantly perfused with fresh external solution at 2 ml/min throughout the recording, and all experiments were performed at room temperature. The neurons were visualized with a CCD camera (Hamamatsu). Recordings were amplified with

MultiClamp 700B (Axon Instruments), and data were analyzed and plotted with Clampfit 10 and ORIGIN. See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for more details.

Acute Hippocampal Slice Recording

Postnatal day 14–21 C57BL/6 mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and decapitated. Brains were removed and submerged in ice-cold sucrose cutting solution (mM): 50 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 7 MgCl₂·6H₂O, 0.5 CaCl₂·2H₂O, 1 NaH₂PO₄·H₂O, 25 NaHCO₃, 11 glucose, 150 sucrose (pH 7.2–7.4) after equilibrated with CO₂, \sim 325–340 mosm. Hippocampal slices \sim 400 microns thick were prepared using Leica VT1000s vibratome and transferred to a holding chamber for a minimum of 1 hr before use. The holding chamber contained oxygenated (95% O₂–5% CO₂) artificial cerebral spinal fluid (ACSF) (mM): 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1.3 MgCl₂, 2.5 CaCl₂, 1 NaH₂PO₄, 26.2 NaHCO₃, 11 glucose, pH 7.2–7.4 after CO₂ buffering, \sim 300 mosm.

Bipolar stimulating electrode was placed in *stratum radiatum* to stimulate the Schaffer collaterals (axons of CA3 neurons) and whole-cell recordings from the cell bodies of CA1 pyramidal neurons were obtained. The external bath solution was oxygenated with 95% O_2 -5%CO₂ and the slices were continually perfused at 2 ml/min in room temperature or 35°C. The neurons were visualized with a CCD camera (Hamamatsu). Recordings were performed with patch clamp amplifiers (MultiClamp 700B, Axon Instruments), and data were analyzed and plotted with Clampfit 10 and ORIGIN. See Supplemental Experimental Procedures for more details.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes six figures, Supplemental Experimental Procedures, and supplemental text and can be found with this article online at doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2012.01.033.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Roger Nicoll, Yuriy Kirichok, Michael Stryker, Woo-Ping Ge, Jim Berg, Shi-Bing Yang, Huanghe Yang, and Ye He for helpful discussions throughout this work. We thank the UCSF Sandler Center Lentiviral RNAi Core for the assistance with the shRNA experiments. This work was supported by grants MH065334 and NS069229 from National Institute of Health. Y.N.J. and L.Y.J. are HHMI investigators.

Accepted: January 20, 2012 Published: April 11, 2012

REFERENCES

Adams, P.R., Constanti, A., Brown, D.A., and Clark, R.B. (1982). Intracellular Ca^{2+} activates a fast voltage-sensitive K⁺ current in vertebrate sympathetic neurones. Nature 296, 746–749.

Barnes, S., and Hille, B. (1989). Ionic channels of the inner segment of tiger salamander cone photoreceptors. J. Gen. Physiol. *94*, 719–743.

Bean, B.P. (2007). The action potential in mammalian central neurons. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 8, 451–465.

Ben-Ari, Y. (2002). Excitatory actions of gaba during development: the nature of the nurture. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *3*, 728–739.

Billig, G.M., Pal, B., Fidzinski, P., and Jentsch, T.J. (2011). Ca²⁺-activated Cl⁻ currents are dispensable for olfaction. Nat. Neurosci. *14*, 763–769.

Blaesse, P., Airaksinen, M.S., Rivera, C., and Kaila, K. (2009). Cation-chloride cotransporters and neuronal function. Neuron *61*, 820–838.

Bonislawski, D.P., Schwarzbach, E.P., and Cohen, A.S. (2007). Brain injury impairs dentate gyrus inhibitory efficacy. Neurobiol. Dis. 25, 163–169.

Bormann, J., Hamill, O.P., and Sakmann, B. (1987). Mechanism of anion permeation through channels gated by glycine and gamma-aminobutyric acid in mouse cultured spinal neurones. J. Physiol. *385*, 243–286.

Caporale, N., and Dan, Y. (2008). Spike timing-dependent plasticity: a Hebbian learning rule. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. *31*, 25–46.

Caputo, A., Caci, E., Ferrera, L., Pedemonte, N., Barsanti, C., Sondo, E., Pfeffer, U., Ravazzolo, R., Zegarra-Moran, O., and Galietta, L.J. (2008). TMEM16A, a membrane protein associated with calcium-dependent chloride channel activity. Science *322*, 590–594.

Dan, Y., and Poo, M.M. (2004). Spike timing-dependent plasticity of neural circuits. Neuron 44, 23–30.

De Koninck, Y. (2007). Altered chloride homeostasis in neurological disorders: a new target. Curr. Opin. Pharmacol. 7, 93–99.

Fakler, B., and Adelman, J.P. (2008). Control of $K_{\rm (Ca)}$ channels by calcium nano/microdomains. Neuron 59, 873–881.

Fiumelli, H., Cancedda, L., and Poo, M.M. (2005). Modulation of GABAergic transmission by activity via postsynaptic Ca²⁺-dependent regulation of KCC2 function. Neuron *48*, 773–786.

Frings, S., Reuter, D., and Kleene, S.J. (2000). Neuronal Ca^{2+} -activated Cl⁻ channels—homing in on an elusive channel species. Prog. Neurobiol. *60*, 247–289.

Fu, W.Y., Chen, Y., Sahin, M., Zhao, X.S., Shi, L., Bikoff, J.B., Lai, K.O., Yung, W.H., Fu, A.K., Greenberg, M.E., and Ip, N.Y. (2007). Cdk5 regulates EphA4mediated dendritic spine retraction through an ephexin1-dependent mechanism. Nat. Neurosci. *10*, 67–76.

Geiger, J.R., and Jonas, P. (2000). Dynamic control of presynaptic $Ca(^{2+})$ inflow by fast-inactivating K(⁺) channels in hippocampal mossy fiber boutons. Neuron 28, 927–939.

Hammond, R.S., Bond, C.T., Strassmaier, T., Ngo-Anh, T.J., Adelman, J.P., Maylie, J., and Stackman, R.W. (2006). Small-conductance Ca^{2+} -activated K⁺ channel type 2 (SK2) modulates hippocampal learning, memory, and synaptic plasticity. J. Neurosci. *26*, 1844–1853.

Hartzell, C., Putzier, I., and Arreola, J. (2005). Calcium-activated chloride channels. Annu. Rev. Physiol. 67, 719–758.

Higashi, H., Tanaka, E., Inokuchi, H., and Nishi, S. (1993). Ionic mechanisms underlying the depolarizing and hyperpolarizing afterpotentials of single spike in guinea-pig cingulate cortical neurons. Neuroscience *55*, 129–138.

Hodgkin, A.L., and Huxley, A.F. (1952). Propagation of electrical signals along giant nerve fibers. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. *140*, 177–183.

Hoffman, D.A., Magee, J.C., Colbert, C.M., and Johnston, D. (1997). K⁺ channel regulation of signal propagation in dendrites of hippocampal pyramidal neurons. Nature 387, 869–875.

Hu, H., Shao, L.R., Chavoshy, S., Gu, N., Trieb, M., Behrens, R., Laake, P., Pongs, O., Knaus, H.G., Ottersen, O.P., and Storm, J.F. (2001). Presynaptic Ca²⁺-activated K⁺ channels in glutamatergic hippocampal terminals and their role in spike repolarization and regulation of transmitter release. J. Neurosci. *21*, 9585–9597.

Huang, F., Rock, J.R., Harfe, B.D., Cheng, T., Huang, X., Jan, Y.N., and Jan, L.Y. (2009). Studies on expression and function of the TMEM16A calciumactivated chloride channel. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *106*, 21413–21418.

Hwang, S.J., Blair, P.J., Britton, F.C., O'Driscoll, K.E., Hennig, G., Bayguinov, Y.R., Rock, J.R., Harfe, B.D., Sanders, K.M., and Ward, S.M. (2009). Expression of anoctamin 1/TMEM16A by interstitial cells of Cajal is fundamental for slow wave activity in gastrointestinal muscles. J. Physiol. 587, 4887–4904.

Kandel, E.R., and Spencer, W.A. (1961). Electrophysiology of hippocampal neurons. II. After-potentials and repetitive firing. J. Neurophysiol. 24, 243–259.

Kimura, N., Tamura, T.A., and Murakami, M. (2005). Evaluation of the performance of two carbodiimide-based cyanine dyes for detecting changes in mRNA expression with DNA microarrays. Biotechniques *38*, 797–806.

Kittler, R., Heninger, A.K., Franke, K., Habermann, B., and Buchholz, F. (2005). Production of endoribonuclease-prepared short interfering RNAs for gene silencing in mammalian cells. Nat. Methods *2*, 779–784.

Korn, S.J., Bolden, A., and Horn, R. (1991). Control of action potentials and Ca^{2+} influx by the $Ca(^{2+})$ -dependent chloride current in mouse pituitary cells. J. Physiol. 439, 423–437.

Lancaster, B., and Nicoll, R.A. (1987). Properties of two calcium-activated hyperpolarizations in rat hippocampal neurones. J. Physiol. 389, 187–203.

Lin, M.T., Luján, R., Watanabe, M., Adelman, J.P., and Maylie, J. (2008). SK2 channel plasticity contributes to LTP at Schaffer collateral-CA1 synapses. Nat. Neurosci. *11*, 170–177.

Lingle, C.J., Solaro, C.R., Prakriya, M., and Ding, J.P. (1996). Calciumactivated potassium channels in adrenal chromaffin cells. Ion Channels *4*, 261–301.

Madison, D.V., and Nicoll, R.A. (1984). Control of the repetitive discharge of rat CA 1 pyramidal neurones in vitro. J. Physiol. *354*, 319–331.

Manoury, B., Tamuleviciute, A., and Tammaro, P. (2010). TMEM16A/anoctamin 1 protein mediates calcium-activated chloride currents in pulmonary arterial smooth muscle cells. J. Physiol. *588*, 2305–2314.

Migliore, M., Hoffman, D.A., Magee, J.C., and Johnston, D. (1999). Role of an A-type K^+ conductance in the back-propagation of action potentials in the dendrites of hippocampal pyramidal neurons. J. Comput. Neurosci. 7, 5–15.

Nabekura, J., Ueno, T., Okabe, A., Furuta, A., Iwaki, T., Shimizu-Okabe, C., Fukuda, A., and Akaike, N. (2002). Reduction of KCC2 expression and GABA_A receptor-mediated excitation after in vivo axonal injury. J. Neurosci. *22*, 4412–4417.

Ngo-Anh, T.J., Bloodgood, B.L., Lin, M., Sabatini, B.L., Maylie, J., and Adelman, J.P. (2005). SK channels and NMDA receptors form a Ca²⁺-mediated feedback loop in dendritic spines. Nat. Neurosci. *8*, 642–649.

Ousingsawat, J., Martins, J.R., Schreiber, R., Rock, J.R., Harfe, B.D., and Kunzelmann, K. (2009). Loss of TMEM16A causes a defect in epithelial Ca²⁺-dependent chloride transport. J. Biol. Chem. *284*, 28698–28703.

Palma, E., Amici, M., Sobrero, F., Spinelli, G., Di Angelantonio, S., Ragozzino, D., Mascia, A., Scoppetta, C., Esposito, V., Miledi, R., and Eusebi, F. (2006). Anomalous levels of Cl⁻ transporters in the hippocampal subiculum from temporal lobe epilepsy patients make GABA excitatory. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *103*, 8465–8468.

Payne, J.A., Rivera, C., Voipio, J., and Kaila, K. (2003). Cation-chloride cotransporters in neuronal communication, development and trauma. Trends Neurosci. 26, 199–206.

Petersen, O.H., and Maruyama, Y. (1984). Calcium-activated potassium channels and their role in secretion. Nature *307*, 693–696.

Pifferi, S., Dibattista, M., and Menini, A. (2009). TMEM16B induces chloride currents activated by calcium in mammalian cells. Pflugers Arch. *458*, 1023–1038.

Raffaelli, G., Saviane, C., Mohajerani, M.H., Pedarzani, P., and Cherubini, E. (2004). BK potassium channels control transmitter release at CA3-CA3 synapses in the rat hippocampus. J. Physiol. 557, 147–157.

Rivera, C., Voipio, J., Thomas-Crusells, J., Li, H., Emri, Z., Sipilä, S., Payne, J.A., Minichiello, L., Saarma, M., and Kaila, K. (2004). Mechanism of activitydependent downregulation of the neuron-specific K-Cl cotransporter KCC2. J. Neurosci. *24*, 4683–4691.

Robitaille, R., Garcia, M.L., Kaczorowski, G.J., and Charlton, M.P. (1993). Functional colocalization of calcium and calcium-gated potassium channels in control of transmitter release. Neuron *11*, 645–655. Rock, J.R., O'Neal, W.K., Gabriel, S.E., Randell, S.H., Harfe, B.D., Boucher, R.C., and Grubb, B.R. (2009). Transmembrane protein 16A (TMEM16A) is a Ca^{2+} -regulated Cl^- secretory channel in mouse airways. J. Biol. Chem. 284, 14875–14880.

Romanenko, V.G., Catalán, M.A., Brown, D.A., Putzier, I., Hartzell, H.C., Marmorstein, A.D., Gonzalez-Begne, M., Rock, J.R., Harfe, B.D., and Melvin, J.E. (2010). Tmem16A encodes the Ca²⁺-activated Cl⁻ channel in mouse submandibular salivary gland acinar cells. J. Biol. Chem. *285*, 12990–13001.

Sarbassov, D.D., Guertin, D.A., Ali, S.M., and Sabatini, D.M. (2005). Phosphorylation and regulation of Akt/PKB by the rictor-mTOR complex. Science *307*, 1098–1101.

Schroeder, B.C., Cheng, T., Jan, Y.N., and Jan, L.Y. (2008). Expression cloning of TMEM16A as a calcium-activated chloride channel subunit. Cell *134*, 1019–1029.

Scott, R.H., Sutton, K.G., Griffin, A., Stapleton, S.R., and Currie, K.P. (1995). Aspects of calcium-activated chloride currents: a neuronal perspective. Pharmacol. Ther. 66, 535–565.

Shao, L.R., Halvorsrud, R., Borg-Graham, L., and Storm, J.F. (1999). The role of BK-type Ca^{2+} -dependent K⁺ channels in spike broadening during repetitive firing in rat hippocampal pyramidal cells. J. Physiol. *521*, 135–146.

Stackman, R.W., Hammond, R.S., Linardatos, E., Gerlach, A., Maylie, J., Adelman, J.P., and Tzounopoulos, T. (2002). Small conductance Ca^{2+} -activated K⁺ channels modulate synaptic plasticity and memory encoding. J. Neurosci. *22*, 10163–10171.

Stephan, A.B., Shum, E.Y., Hirsh, S., Cygnar, K.D., Reisert, J., and Zhao, H. (2009). ANO2 is the cilial calcium-activated chloride channel that may mediate olfactory amplification. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *106*, 11776–11781.

Stöhr, H., Heisig, J.B., Benz, P.M., Schöberl, S., Milenkovic, V.M., Strauss, O., Aartsen, W.M., Wijnholds, J., Weber, B.H., and Schulz, H.L. (2009). TMEM16B, a novel protein with calcium-dependent chloride channel activity, associates with a presynaptic protein complex in photoreceptor terminals. J. Neurosci. *29*, 6809–6818.

Storm, J.F. (1987a). Action potential repolarization and a fast after-hyperpolarization in rat hippocampal pyramidal cells. J. Physiol. *385*, 733–759.

Storm, J.F. (1987b). Intracellular injection of a Ca²⁺ chelator inhibits spike repolarization in hippocampal neurons. Brain Res. *435*, 387–392.

Sugita, S., Tanaka, E., and North, R.A. (1993). Membrane properties and synaptic potentials of three types of neurone in rat lateral amygdala. J. Physiol. *460*, 705–718.

Wang, X.Q., Deriy, L.V., Foss, S., Huang, P., Lamb, F.S., Kaetzel, M.A., Bindokas, V., Marks, J.D., and Nelson, D.J. (2006). CLC-3 channels modulate excitatory synaptic transmission in hippocampal neurons. Neuron *52*, 321–333.

Woodin, M.A., Ganguly, K., and Poo, M.M. (2003). Coincident pre- and postsynaptic activity modifies GABAergic synapses by postsynaptic changes in CI^- transporter activity. Neuron 39, 807–820.

Yang, Y.D., Cho, H., Koo, J.Y., Tak, M.H., Cho, Y., Shim, W.S., Park, S.P., Lee, J., Lee, B., Kim, B.M., et al. (2008). TMEM16A confers receptor-activated calcium-dependent chloride conductance. Nature *455*, 1210–1215.